Communications

Diastereoselective Hydroboration of Isopropenylcyclopropanes

Janine Cossy,* Nicolas Blanchard, Céline Hamel, and Christophe Meyer*

Laboratoire de Chimie Organique, associé au CNRS, ESPCI, 10 rue Vauquelin, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

Received January 4, 1999

The stereoselective preparation of organic compounds containing cyclopropanes is of great interest because of their biological properties¹ and their versatile synthetic utility.² Due to the characteristic stereoelectronic effects of the cyclopropane ring, it has been recognized that interactions can exist with adjacent double bonds, leading to the preferential population of bissected conformers (Scheme 1), as evidenced by spectroscopic analysis, electron diffraction studies, and theoretical calculations.³ Furthermore, experimental evidence has emerged from the results of the

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: (33) 01 40 79 44 29. Fax: (33) 01 40 79 44 25. E-mail: janine.cossy@espci.fr, christophe.meyer@espci.fr.

(1) (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Petasis, N. A.; Seitz, S. P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 1195–1196. (b) Arai, I.; Mori, A.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 8254–8256. (c) Mitome, H.; Miyaoka, H.; Nakano, M.; Yamada, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 8231–8234. (d) Arlt, D.; Jautelat, M.; Lantzch, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1981, 20, 703–722. (e) Suckling, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1988, 27, 537–552. (f) Breckenridge, R. J.; Suckling, C. J. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 5665–5677. (g) Stammer, C. H. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 2231–2254. (h) Burgess, K.; Ho, K.-K.; Moye-Sherman, D. Synlett 1994, 575–583. (i) Nagoka, H.; Miyaoka, H.; Yamada, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 167–170. (k) Martin, S. F.; Austin, R. E.; Oalmann, C. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1900, 31, 4731–4734. (l) Kim, G.; Chu-Moyer, M. Y.; Danishefsky, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 2003–2005. (m) White, J. D.; Jensen, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 2970–2971.

(2) (a) The Chemistry of the Cyclopropyl Group; Rappoport Z., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, 1987; Parts 1 and 2. (b) Helquist, P. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 4, Semmelhack, M. E., Ed., pp 951–997. (c) Nair, V. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford 1991; Vol. 4, Semmelhack, M. E., Ed., pp 999–1929. (d) Danes, H. L. M. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 4, Semmelhack, M. E., Ed., pp 1031–1067. (e) Hudlicky, T.; Reed, J. W. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 5, Paquette, L. A., Ed., pp 899–970. (f) Piers, E. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 5, Paquette, L. A., Ed., pp 971–998. (g) Collum, D. B.; Still, W. C.; Mohamadi, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2094–2096. (h) Wong, H. N. C.; Hon, M.-Y.; Tse, C.-H.; Yip, Y.-C.; Tanko, J.; Hudlicky, T. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 165–198. (j) Ludlicky, T.; Fan, R.; Reed, J. W.; Gadamasetti, K. G. Org. React. 1992, 41, 1–133. (j) Davies, H. M. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 42, 5665–5677. (k) Barrett, A. G. M.; Tam, W. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 4653–4664. (l) Barrett, A. G. M.; Tam, W. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 7673–7678. (3) (a) Bartell, L. S.; Cairoll, B. L.; Guillory, J. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1964, 705–708. (b) Bartell, L. S.; Guillory, J. P.; Parks, A. T. J. Phys. Chem. 1965, 705–708. (b) Bartell, L. S.; Cairoll, S. P.; Parks, A. T. J. Phys. Chem. 1965, 705–708. (b) Chartent Particular Particul

(3) (a) Bartell, L. S.; Carroll, B. L.; Guillory, J. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1964, 705-708. (b) Bartell, L. S.; Guillory, J. P.; Parks, A. T. J. Phys. Chem. 1965, 69, 3043-3048. (c) Bartell, L. S.; Guillory, J. P. J. Chem. Phys. 1965, 43, 647-653. (d) Karabatsos, G. J.; Hsi, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 2864-2870. (e) Dauben, W. G.; Berezin, G. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 3449-3452. (f) Jorgenson, M. J.; Leung, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 3769-3774. (g) Heathcock, C. H.; Poulter, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 3766-3768. (h) De Mare, G. R.; Martin, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 5033-5034. (i) Pews, R. G.; Ojha, N. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 91, 5769-5773. (j) Pelissier, M.; Serafini, A.; Devanneaux, J.; Labarre, J.-F.; Toccanne, J.-F. Tetrahedron 1971, 27, 3271-3284. (k) De Meijere, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1979, 18, 809-826. (l) Drumright, R. E.; Mas, R. H.; Merola, J. S.; Tanko, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4098-4102. (m) Vogel, P. Chimie Organique, Methodes et Modeles, DeBoeck Université: 1997; Chapter 6, pp 806-822.



stereochemical outcome of nucleophilic additions onto cyclopropylcarbonyl derivatives.⁴

Electrophilic additions onto alkenylcyclopropanes should also occur via these bissected conformations since the cyclopropane ring can act as a strong π -donor and interact in the transition state with the antibonding orbital of the double bond, thus enhancing its nucleophilicity and thereby facilitating the reaction. Herein, we disclose our preliminary results concerning the stereochemical outcome of the addition of organoboranes to substituted isopropenylcyclopropanes.

When cyclopropane 1^5 was hydroborated using either BH₃·THF or 9-BBN-H in THF followed by a standard alkaline oxidative workup, the alcohol **2** was obtained as a single diastereoisomer in good isolated yields.⁶ Its relative stereochemistry was readily assigned by comparison with

^{(4) (}a) Descotes, G.; Menet, A.; Collonges, F. *Tetrahedron* **1973**, *29*, 2931–2935. (b) Meyers, A. I.; Romine, J. L.; Fleming, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1988**, *110*, 7245–7247. (c) Lautens, M.; Delanghe, P. H. M. J. Org. Chem. **1995**, *60*, 2474–2487. (d) Ono, S.; Shuto, S.; Matsuda, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 221–224.

⁽⁵⁾ The preparation of the starting materials is described in the Supporting Information.

⁽⁶⁾ No signals assignable to another diastereoisomeric product could be detected by GC–MS or NMR analyses of the crude material.



an authentic sample prepared from the known alcohol **3a**.⁷ Conversely, hydroboration of the *trans* diastereoisomer **4**⁵ under the same conditions is nearly stereorandom (Scheme 2).

To expand the scope of this hydroboration reaction, other substituted isopropenylcyclopropylcarbinols were examined. When **6a**–**c**⁵ were hydroborated with 9-BBN-H or BH₃·THF in THF, the diols **7a**–**c** were respectively obtained in good isolated yields and in a highly diastereoselective fashion (dr \geq 96/4) (Scheme 3).⁶

It turned out that diols **7b** and **7c** were extremely acidsensitive and readily cyclized to the same tetrahydropyran **8** in a highly diastereoselective fashion (dr \ge 96/4).⁶ On the other hand, the known alcohol **3b**⁷ was oxidized with PDC, and the resultant aldehyde was treated with PhMgBr to give a mixture of diastereoisomeric alcohols. After deprotection of the *p*-methoxybenzyl ether with DDQ, the diastereoisomeric sensitive diols **9b** and **9c** were separated and, upon exposure to a catalytic amount of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, both cyclized to the same tetrahydropyran **10** in a highly diastereoselective fashion (dr \ge 96/4) (Scheme 4).⁶

Apparently, the cyclizations of **7b** or **7c** and **9b** or **9c** to **8** and **10**, respectively, occur through the formation of a benzylcyclopropylcarbonium ion, existing in the most stable bissected conformation,⁸ in which steric interactions analogous to A^{1,3} strain⁹ are minimized (Scheme 5). Indeed the benzylic protons in **8** and **10** appear as broad singlets, respectively, at 4.68 and 4.41 ppm, indicating a *trans* relationship between the phenyl group and the cyclopropane.¹⁰ The fact that **8** and **10** are diastereoisomers allows the establishment of the relative stereochemistry of **7b** and **7c**, the one of **7a** being attributed accordingly.

In the examples that we have considered, hydroboration of *cis*-isopropenylcyclopropanes proceeds with a high level of stereocontrol to give the *syn* diasteroisomers. At this stage of our investigations, we have tentatively rationalized these

(8) (a) Olah, G. A.; Schleyer, P. v. R. *Carbonium Ions*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1972; Vol. 3, pp 1201–1294. (b) Olah, G. A.; Prakash Reddy, V.; Surya Prakash, G. K. *Chem. Rev.* **1992**, *92*, 69–95. (c) Kirmse, W.; Krzossa, B.; Steenken, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, *118*, 7473–7477.

(9) Hoffmann, R. W. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1841–1860.
(10) (a) Tam, S. Y.-K. K.; Fraser-Reid, B. Can. J. Chem. 1977, 5, 3996–



results by considering that hydroborations are occurring via the more reactive bissected S-*cis* conformers, in which the steric bulk of the R *cis* substituent will force the organoborane to approach on the opposite side of the double bond. It is thus clear that, in the absence of a bulky R-*cis* substituent, hydroboration is stereorandom as is the case for compound **4** (Scheme 6).

We have shown that hydroboration of *cis*-isopropenylcyclopropanes proceed with a high degree of stereocontrol, and further work is in progress to clearly elucidate the factors responsible for such a high diastereoselectivity. Furthermore, the cyclopropanes bearing two adjacent stereocenters should be useful synthons in the synthesis of natural products or conformationally restricted analogues of some biologically active substances.

Acknowledgment. We thank the CNRS (ESA 7084), the ESPCI for financial support, and the Ministère de l'Education Nationale de la Recherche et de la Technologie for a grant (N.B.). We thank Prof. J. F. Normant (Université Paris VI) for welcoming us in his laboratory during the renovation of ESPCI.

Supporting Information Available: Full experimental procedures and spectroscopic data are available for new compounds as well as for the intermediates involved in their preparation.

⁽⁷⁾ Cossy, J.; Blanchard, N.; Meyer, C. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 5728-5729.

^{(10) (}a) Iam, S. Y.-K. K.; Fraser-Reid, B. Can. J. Chem. 1977, 5, 3996– 4001. (b) Ramana, C. V.; Murali, R.; Nagarajan, M. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 7694–7703.